

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 09/976,009	Applicant(s) HURSEY ET AL.	
	Examiner Carl Colin	Art Unit 2136	

**All Participants:**

- (1) Carl Colin.
- (2) Kevin J. Zilka.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

- (3) \_\_\_\_\_
- (4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 1/4/07

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No  
If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
36, 37, and 46

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner contacted Applicant's Representative, Kevin Zilka, to point out some informalities in claims 36, 37, and 46. Authorization was given to the Examiner by Applicant's Representative to amend claims 36, 37, and 46 by Examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)